Filed 1/3/2022 3:10:00 PM Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania 464 MD 2021 and additional consolidated case(s)

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Carol Ann Carter, Monica Parrilla, Rebecca Poyourow, William Tung, Roseanne Milazzo, Burt Siegel, Susan Cassanelli, Lee Cassanelli, Lynn Wachman, Michael Guttman, Maya Fonkeu, Brady Hill, Mary Ellen Balchunis, Tom DeWall, Stephanie McNulty and Janet Temin, Petitioners	CASES CONSOLIDATED
v. Veronica Degraffenreid, in her official capacity as the Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Jessica Mathis, in her official capacity as Director for the Pennsylvania Bureau of Election Services and Notaries, Respondents	No. 464 M.D. 2021
 Philip T. Gressman; Ron Y. Donagi; Kristopher R. Tapp; Pamela Gorkin; David P. Marsh; James L. Rosenberger; Amy Myers; Eugene Boman; Gary Gordon; Liz McMahon; Timothy G. Feeman; and Garth Isaak, Petitioners v. Veronica Degraffenreid, in her official capacity as the Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Jessica Mathis, in her official capacity as Director for the Pennsylvania 	No. 465 M.D. 2021

Bureau of Election Services and	_
Notaries,	
Respondents	

CARTER PETITIONERS' RESPONSE TO THE APPLICATIONS TO INTERVENE FILED BY MEMBERS OF DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS OF THE PENNSYLVANIA SENATE AND THE LEADER OF THE DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS OF THE PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Pursuant to this Court's scheduling order, the *Carter* Petitioners hereby respond to the applications to intervene filed by two separate sets of legislators. The first is by Members of the Democratic Caucus of the Senate, including State Senators Jay Costa, Vincent J. Hughes, Wayne D. Fontana, Judy Schwank, Lisa Boscola, James Brewster, Amanda Cappelletti, Carolyn Comitta, Marty Flynn, Art Haywood, John Kane, Tim Kearney, Steve Santarsiero, Nikil Saval, Christine Tartaglione, and Lindsey Williams. The second is by Representative Joanna McClinton, the Leader of the Democratic Caucus of the Pennsylvania House of Legislators. Last week, the *Carter* Petitioners opposed the applications to intervene by Pennsylvania's Legislative Leaders and by a separate set of Democratic State Senators. The *Carter* Petitioners stand by those oppositions and believe the reasons to deny intervention to those legislators apply equally to the present applications.

The *Carter* Petitioners do agree with the present applicants, however, that if the Court is inclined to accept the intervention of Pennsylvania's legislators, that it should do so for all legislators who seek to intervene—not only for some. If anything, these present applications make clear that the Legislative Leaders' application to intervene was not on behalf of the General Assembly as an institution, but rather for only a portion of the General Assembly, which the *Carter* Petitioners maintain is an independent basis to deny the Legislative Leaders' application to intervene.

At bottom, all of the legislators who seek to intervene in this action do so to advance their redistricting policy preferences. While the *Carter* Petitioners believe such an interest is insufficient for intervention—after all, all of these legislators have had and continue to have a forum for expressing their policy preferences in the Legislature itself—there is no basis to allow only a select few legislators' policy preferences to be heard in this case, particularly when the Legislative Leaders' application had the support of only one party.¹ If the Court chooses to grant the Legislative Leaders' application, it should similarly accept the applications by Senator Costa, his respective caucus, and Representative McClintock, on behalf of her respective caucus.

¹ The Legislative Leaders' application was made on behalf of the Republican caucus of the Pennsylvania General Assembly.

Dated: January 3, 2021

Abha Khanna* Elias Law Group LLP 1700 Seventh Ave, Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101 akhanna@elias.law T: (206) 656-0177

Lalitha D. Madduri* Christina A. Ford* Jyoti Jasrasaria* Joseph Posimato* Raisa Cramer* Elias Law Group LLP 10 G St. NE, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20002 Imadduri@elias.law cford@elias.law jjasrasaria@elias.law jposimato@elias.law rcramer@elias.law T: (202) 968-4490

Matthew Gordon* Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101 MGordon@perkinscoie.com T: (206) 359-3552 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Edward D. Rogers Edward D. Rogers, No. 69337 Marcel S. Pratt, No. 307483 Robert J. Clark, No. 308105 Michael R. McDonald, No. 326873 Paul K. Ort, No. 326044 Ballard Spahr LLP 1735 Market Street, 51st Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 RogersE@ballardspahr.com PrattM@ballardspahr.com ClarkR@ballardspahr.com McDonaldM@ballardspahr.com OrtP@ballardspahr.com T: (215) 665-8500 F: (215) 864-8999

* pro hac vice forthcoming

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the date set forth below, I caused the foregoing Response to be served upon the following parties and in the manner indicated below, which service satisfies the requirements of Pa. R.A.P. 121:

By first class mail:

Kathleen Kotula 401 North Street, Room 301 Harrisburg, PA 17120-0500

By PACFile eService:

All counsel of record as set forth in the PACFile proof of service filed herewith

Dated: January 3, 2021

<u>/s/ Edward D. Rogers</u> Edward D. Rogers, No. 69337 Ballard Spahr LLP 1735 Market Street, 51st Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 RogersE@ballardspahr.com T: (215) 665-8500 F: (215) 864-8999